

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,876	07/20/2004	Christian Walsdorff	53257	6620	
26474 7590 08/30/2006			EXAMINER		
NOVAK DRU 1300 EYE STR	JCE DELUCA & QU REET NW	DANG, TI	DANG, THUAN D		
SUITE 400 EAST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764		

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/501,876	WALSDORFF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thuan D. Dang	1764		

,			1				
	Thuan D. Dang	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods:	of the final rejection						
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	diance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	ne of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A biter in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	duaina ar aimhlifeina	the issues for				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21. See attached Nation of Non-Co	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		impliant Amenument	(FTQL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ant canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subiniited iii a soparato,	among mod amoname	one dandoning the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	vided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8 and 9.							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>b and s</u> . Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see the attachment.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
		Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764					

Art Unit: 1764

## The Attachment

The argument that the examiner's position over the presence or absence of acetylenically unsaturated hydrocarbons and allenes is not supported from the reference and a hindsight reconstruction is not persuasive since the presence of these components cannot be found anywhere in the reference. Further, applicants also do not claim excluding or including these components by reciting the expression "not containing any significant amounts ..." (see claims).

The argument that according to the teaching in the submitted article "Crude Butadiene to Styrene Process", the examiner's position "using any butadiene feed would yield similar results" is in error is not persuasive since the article would guide one having oridinary skill in the art away from using the butadiene produced by **cracking**, **not** by **dehydrogenation** as disclosed by Adams.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,876

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764 Page 3

10501876.20060828